



This project has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 662287.



## EJP-CONCERT

European Joint Programme for the Integration of Radiation Protection Research

H2020 – 662287

# D9.42 – Mouse DNA damage studies

**Lead Author:** PHE

**With contributions from:** All project partners (DH-PHE, HMGU, ENEA, DU, OBU) and Advisory Board members

**Reviewer(s):** CONCERT coordination team

Work package / Task	<b>WP 9 T9.2</b>	<b>ST 9.2.4</b>
Deliverable nature:	<b>Report</b>	
Dissemination level: (Confidentiality)	<b>Public</b>	
Contractual delivery date:	<b>M49</b>	
Actual delivery date:	<b>M49</b>	
Version:	<b>1</b>	
Total number of pages:	<b>14</b>	
Keywords:	<b>Ionising Radiation Dose and Dose Rate; Lens; Cataract; Mouse Models; DNA Damage</b>	
Approved by the coordinator:	<b>M49</b>	
Submitted to EC by the coordinator:	<b>M49</b>	

**Disclaimer:**

The information and views set out in this report are those of the author(s). The European Commission may not be held responsible for the use that may be made of the information contained therein.

---

## Abstract

The lens of the eye is known to be more radiosensitive than previously thought but, despite a substantial reduction in occupational dose limits based on recent epidemiological information and reanalyses, the mechanisms of low dose radiation cataract induction are still unclear. This is an important current public health issue, for instance for medical radiation workers, many of whom will need to amend their working practices despite a clear understanding of the underlying process and ultimate effects of chronic, low dose, ionising radiation exposure.

The LD Lens Rad project aims to bring together experts from across Europe to answer a number of key research questions on this topic, including: how does low dose radiation cause cataracts; is there a dose rate effect, and how does genetic background influence cataract development after radiation exposure. CONCERT Deliverable 9.43, 1.0.9 of the project, describes progress to date on DNA damage markers assessed in C57BL/6 mice that were exposed to 0.5, 1 and 2 Gy  $\gamma$ -radiation at dose rates of 0.063 and 0.3 Gy/min, and also 0.5 Gy at 0.014 Gy/min; a manuscript has been submitted to Scientific Reports. Data from the other mouse models will be presented in full in a later publication.

The results to date, and other data not yet fully analysed clearly indicate an Inverse dose-rate effect of ionising radiation on residual 53BP1 foci in the eye lens. These findings highlight the likely importance of dose-rate in low-dose cataract formation and, furthermore, represent the first evidence that LECs process radiation damage differently compared to blood lymphocytes.

---

## Progress summary – Submitted manuscript

### Inverse dose-rate effect of ionising radiation on residual 53BP1 foci in the eye lens

Stephen G R Barnard<sup>1,2</sup>, Roisin McCarron<sup>1</sup>, Jayne Moquet<sup>1</sup>, Roy Quinlan<sup>2</sup> and Elizabeth Ainsbury<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Public Health England, Centre for Radiation, Chemical and Environmental Hazards, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, U.K.

<sup>2</sup> Durham University, Department of Biosciences, Durham, U.K.

#### Abstract

The influence of dose rate on radiation cataractogenesis has yet to be extensively studied. One recent epidemiological investigation suggested that protracted radiation exposure increases radiation-induced cataract risk: cumulative doses of radiation mostly <100 mGy received by US radiologic technologists over 5 years were associated with an increased excess hazard ratio for cataract development. However, there are few mechanistic studies to support and explain such observations. Low-dose radiation-induced DNA damage in the epithelial cells of the eye lens (LECs) has been proposed as a possible contributor to cataract formation and visual impairment. Here, 53BP1 foci were used as a surrogate marker of DNA damage. Unexpectedly, the number of 53BP1 foci that persisted in the mouse lens samples after  $\gamma$ -radiation exposure increased with decreasing dose-rate at 4 and 24 hours. The C57BL/6 mice were exposed to 0.5, 1 and 2 Gy of  $\gamma$ -radiation at 0.063 and 0.3 Gy/min and also 0.5 Gy at 0.014 Gy/min. This contrasts with the data we obtained for peripheral blood lymphocytes collected from the same animal groups, which showed the expected reduction of residual 53BP1 foci with reduction of dose-rate. These findings highlight the likely importance of dose-rate in low-dose cataract formation and, furthermore, represent the first evidence that LECs process radiation damage differently compared to blood lymphocytes.

#### Introduction

Since the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) recommended a reduction in the eye lens occupational dose limit for ionising radiation (IR) exposure in 2012<sup>1</sup> there has been a need for reliable mechanistic evidence to underpin the recommendations and subsequent changes in the IAEA and EU Basic Safety Standards which recently came into effect<sup>2</sup>. Epidemiological studies supported the implementation of reduced dose limits<sup>1,3,4</sup>, however, the biological response of the lens and the mechanism(s) leading to IR-induced cataract remain unclear<sup>5,6</sup>. Historically, the focus of IR-induced cataract research has been on the effect of dose and determination of threshold, to help decide whether cataract induction is most appropriately considered to be stochastic or deterministic (a 'tissue effect') in nature<sup>6,7</sup>. The effects of chronic, fractionated or protracted exposures remain unclear<sup>8</sup>, but recognition that the eye lens may be more radiosensitive than previously thought suggests there could be tissue-specific effects worthy of consideration, particularly in the contexts of medical and occupational exposures<sup>3</sup>.

Historically, dose rate has been considered in only a very few studies looking at cataract risk in IR exposed populations. A very recently published epidemiological study, by Little *et al.*<sup>9</sup>, has suggested an excess hazard ratio of 0.69 (0.27 – 1.16) per Gy of IR to the formation of cataract in radiologic

technologists following cumulative occupational exposures to low doses (mostly <100 mGy) accumulated over an average 5-year lagged eye lens absorbed dose<sup>9</sup> (using self-reported cataract history as an endpoint). This excess hazard ratio was significantly different from the lowest dose exposure cases (<10 mGy cumulative exposure) used as a control group. Similar excess relative risk of 0.91 (0.67 – 1.20) has also been demonstrated to increase linearly during protracted exposures to ≥250 mGy from an analysis of the Mayak worker cohort<sup>10,11</sup>. Excess hazard ratios are difficult to compare between epidemiological studies due to a variety of factors including varying models and parameters. Nevertheless the authors found that the observed risks were statistically similar to those reported for the Japanese atomic bomb survivor cohort after reanalysis that estimated a lower excess hazard ratio of 0.32 (0.17 – 0.52)<sup>12</sup>. However, the Little *et al.*<sup>9</sup> study involved a relatively large cohort of over 12,000 cases, alongside well characterised dosimetry – a key consideration for effective IR epidemiology<sup>5,13</sup>. Whilst there is little biological evidence for a dose-rate influence in the lens to date, it has been suggested as potentially having a large impact, particularly when doses are fractionated<sup>8</sup>. The latest epidemiological finding<sup>9</sup> supports this suggestion, although the ICRP does not draw conclusions regarding the effect of dose-rate on the lens due to a current lack of sufficient evidence<sup>1,14</sup>.

The mechanism(s) of IR-induced cataract are still largely unknown, however, several possible mechanisms have been identified suggesting that a combination of processes likely play contributory roles<sup>5</sup>. The mouse is a highly appropriate *in-vivo* tool for such investigations on the eye lens for both early, short term biological effects<sup>15-17</sup>, as well as longer term studies of cataract formation<sup>17-22</sup>. LECs are organised as a single cell monolayer covering the anterior surface of the lens, where they are critical to lens function<sup>23</sup>. The epithelium can be spatially defined into two distinct regions, the central and peripheral regions; the latter consists of cells with a proliferative ability but not necessarily actively cycling<sup>24,25</sup>. The role of DNA damage and its potential impact on cataractogenesis in the lens is documented<sup>15-17,21,26,27</sup>. Cataractous lenses showing a high frequency of single strand breaks<sup>27</sup>. Also, base and nucleotide excision repair genes are suggested to play a role in cataractogenesis<sup>28</sup>; mutated *Xpd/Ercc2* genes (involved in DNA repair) result in sensitivity to IR<sup>17</sup>. Lens epithelial cells appear to employ both non-homologous end joining and homologous recombination to repair double strand breaks (DSB), observed in the dose responses of both 53BP1 and Rad51 for both pathways respectively<sup>16</sup>. (previous sentence needs some love---it is a bit confusions!!) Haploinsufficiency for *Atm* and *Rad9* have also been demonstrated to increase the radiosensitivity of the lens<sup>21,29</sup>.

IR-induced DNA double strand break (DSB) formation and repair can be quantified using a number of protein biomarkers, most commonly  $\gamma$ -H2AX, Rad51 or 53BP1<sup>30-32</sup>. IR-induced DNA damage assessed by  $\gamma$ H2AX and 53BP1 foci quantification in LECs of exposed lenses demonstrated a clear response over a range of doses<sup>15,16</sup>.

The dose rate at which IR is delivered greatly influences the repair of DSBs. Low-LET IR is generally considered to be less effective when administered over a longer period, as opposed to an acute delivery, i.e. within minutes<sup>33</sup>. For decades it has been well understood that, certainly for low LET  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -IR, a lowering of dose rate and protraction of exposure time reduces the biological effect of a given dose particularly in cellular experiments<sup>34</sup>. Decreasing the dose rate correlates with a decrease in the number of detectable un-repaired DSBs post-exposure<sup>35</sup>. Low dose rate is typically defined as being 5 mGy/hr or lower<sup>36</sup>. Results from studies using  $\gamma$ -H2AX staining demonstrate lower frequencies of residual DSB induced with lower dose rates in lymphocytes<sup>37,38</sup>. The study by Turner *et al.*<sup>37</sup> compared two dose rates (1.03 Gy/min and 0.31 mGy/min of  $\alpha$ -irradiation) and subsequent  $\gamma$ -H2AX foci yields in the peripheral blood lymphocytes of C57BL/6 mice. At 24 hours post irradiation, the acute dose rate produced a significantly higher frequency of unrepaired damage in the lymphocytes from mice exposed to 1.1 and 2.2 Gy.

In this study, we explore the effect of varying dose-rates on residual 53BP1 foci in the lens of the eye following *in-vivo* exposure of female C57BL/6 mice. This strain is a reproducible mammalian model for investigating DNA damage and repair in the lens epithelium<sup>15</sup>. Adult mice 10 weeks of age were exposed<sup>26</sup> and the effect of varying dose rates in LECs was measured using 53BP1 as a marker for IR-induced DNA DSB and repair. Peripheral blood lymphocytes were also collected from the same mice and analysed in parallel with the same marker to determine the comparative lens sensitivity to DNA damage resulting from three dose rates of IR exposure.

## Methods

A total of 78 female C57BL/6 (C57BL/6J0la/Hsd, Envigo RMS (UK) Ltd., Blackthorn, Bicester, Oxfordshire OX25 1TP) mice (142 lenses – 2 lenses omitted) were whole body exposed to IR at 10 weeks of age. Mice were exposed in groups of four to each dose, time and dose rate data point. Four mice (8 lenses) were used for each dose, time and dose-rate, with two mice for each point as controls (4 lenses), as per power calculations and previous observations on the reproducibility of this strain of mice<sup>15</sup>. Control mice were sham exposed, being transported to the exposure facility alongside the complimenting exposed mice. Six lenses were of insufficient quality to be analysed effectively. An additional 3 mice (6 lenses) were used for the 0.014 Gy/min exposures at 0.5 Gy. All procedures involving animals were performed in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 via approved project licencing granted by the UK Home Office, with additional approval from the local Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body (AWERB) at Public Health England.

The exposures took place at the Medical Research Council Co-60 gamma irradiation facility (Harwell Campus, Didcot, Oxfordshire, UK)<sup>39</sup>, with a horizontal geometry. Doses of 0.5, 1 and 2 Gy were delivered at dose rates of 0.3, 0.063 and 0.014 Gy/min (Table 1). The irradiation system is calibrated and traceable to national standards. All doses were delivered to within 5% accuracy. Irradiations took place at room temperature, with whole body *in-vivo* exposure. A combination of 1, 2 or 4 cobalt-60 sources were used to achieve dose rates of 0.014, 0.063 and 0.3 Gy/min respectively.

Mice were sacrificed at 4 and 24 hours post-exposure (from start of irradiation) and eyes fixed in formaldehyde. The techniques and methodologies for LEC preparation, isolation and immunofluorescence employed during this study have been described previously<sup>15</sup> and were used in the current study, unless stated otherwise. Lens epithelia were isolated and immunofluorescence staining performed (Figure 1) as described for 53BP1<sup>15</sup>. A minimum of 200 and 350 cells were scored for the central and peripheral regions, respectively per data point. From these initial data, power and sample size testing was carried out, based on a significance level of 0.05 and a power of 0.8 to ensure foci were scored in a large enough number of cells for comparative statistics to be applied<sup>15</sup>.

Dose (Gy)	300 mGy/min	63 mGy/min	14 mGy/min
0.5	1.5 min	7.1 min	39 min
1.0	3.1 min	14.4 min	N/A
2.0	6.3 min	29.2 min	N/A

*Table 1: Table of exposure time for each scenario, matched to the date of exposure and source decay factor for that given time.*

In addition to the lens epithelium, peripheral blood lymphocytes were also analysed from the same animals. Upon sacrifice, peripheral whole blood was extracted by cardiac puncture. This blood was placed on ice briefly to halt repair. The protocol used for lymphocyte extraction, fixation and immunofluorescent staining has been described in previous publications<sup>31,40</sup>. Histopaque-1083 (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) was used to isolate murine lymphocytes. A minimum of 200 nuclei were analysed for each of the peripheral lymphocyte samples using manual scoring. Statistical analysis was performed using Minitab 17. General Linear Model Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was applied to all data including dose, dose rate, epithelial region and time post exposure as factors. For the lens epithelial cell data, second and third order interactions for the variables dose, dose rate and time were explored to explain a relatively large lack of fit evident without this interaction. For dose and dose rate, plus the second order interaction between dose and time and the third order interaction between dose, dose rate and time, Tukey's post hoc test for pairwise comparison between the different levels of these factors was then applied.

## Results

No significant effect of time, region, dose or dose rate in the sham-exposed control mice was observed. Analysis of the peripheral blood lymphocyte samples was performed firstly to compare with LECs, but secondly to confirm the dose rate effects seen in previous mouse studies<sup>37</sup>. Residual 53BP1 foci were scored at 24 hours post-exposure for both 0.5 and 1 Gy doses at both 0.3 and 0.063 Gy/min dose rates and plotted with standard error (SE) bars (Figure 2). All post-irradiation samples had more foci than unirradiated controls ( $p \leq 0.001$ ). At 0.5 Gy, the higher dose rate shows 0.376 ( $\pm 0.011$ ) mean foci/cell compared to 0.275 ( $\pm 0.020$ ) from the lower dose rate. At 1 Gy, the higher dose rate shows 0.773 ( $\pm 0.027$ ) foci/cell compared to 0.64 ( $\pm 0.012$ ) seen for the lower dose rate. Figure 2 demonstrates an even lower frequency of mean foci/cell (0.23  $\pm$  0.026) at 0.5 Gy, delivered at 0.014 Gy/min, compared to both the 0.3 and 0.063 Gy/min dose rate exposures for 0.5 Gy. ANOVA reveals a significant influence of both dose and dose rate ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) on 53BP1 foci in lymphocytes. 53BP1 foci were evaluated in the LECs of the central and peripheral regions, as in previous studies<sup>15,16</sup>. Mean foci frequencies were analysed at 4 and 24 hours following exposure to doses of 0.5, 1 and 2 Gy irradiation at 0.3 and 0.063 Gy/min Co-60  $\gamma$ -IR (Figure 3). A reduction of mean foci/cell frequencies is seen at all doses between 4 to 24 hours suggesting effective repair of DSBs. Doses delivered at 0.3 Gy/min yield fewer detectable foci than at 0.063 Gy/min in LECs. As mentioned, we included a lower dose rate of 0.014 Gy/min but were limited to only one dose, 0.5 Gy, due to mouse exposure time constraints. Figure 3 compares all three dose rates (0.014, 0.063 and 0.3 Gy/min) delivering a dose of 0.5 Gy *in vivo*. The further reduction of dose rate (0.014 Gy/min) further increases detectable mean foci/cell frequencies in both regions at both 4 and 24 hours post-exposure.

For statistical analysis, a variety of General Linear ANOVA Models were applied and finally a non-hierarchical model with a third order interaction for the variables dose, dose rate and time was used as this gave the best fit overall. Dose, dose rate and time were all found to significantly affect residual foci/cell yields ( $p \leq 0.001$ ), and the interaction between dose, dose rate and time was also significant ( $p < 0.001$ ), indicating that DNA damage results must be considered in the context of dose, dose-rate and time post-exposure. Only the region of the epithelium (peripheral versus central) was not significant ( $p = 0.079$ ), although significantly different residual foci yields were observed between regions previously at lower total doses and for X-ray rather than  $\gamma$ -ray exposures<sup>15</sup>. Tukey's test then revealed that all doses were significantly different from each other, apart from 0.5 and 1 Gy ( $p = 0.339$ ). For all doses, the intermediate dose rate of 0.063 Gy/min shows a significantly higher frequency of mean foci/cell compared to the higher dose rate of 0.3 Gy/min ( $p = 0.001$ ) and at 0.5 Gy the residual foci yields at the lower dose rate of 0.014 Gy/min was significantly different from those at 0.3 Gy/min

( $p=0.014$ ). There was no significant difference ( $p=0.696$ ) at 0.5 Gy 24 hours after exposure.

## Discussion

The observed inverse dose-rate effect in LEC is evident in both 4 and 24-hour post-exposure data. The limited biological evidence of an inverse dose rate effect in the lens has recently been summarised by Hamada *et al.*<sup>8</sup>, suggesting there might be a dose-rate effect at low-LET exposures. Moreover, this inverse dose-rate effect would only be observed with high-LET neutron radiation<sup>41</sup>. It should also be noted that standard errors associated with mean foci/cell are small, a previously observed characteristic of both the radiosensitive Balb/c and the less sensitive C57BL/6 strains<sup>15</sup>. Further, post hoc testing demonstrates a clear trend of higher foci numbers with higher doses, shorter times and, to some extent, lower dose rates. Dose rate reduction, and increased duration of exposure generally reduce the biological effects of ionising radiation<sup>34</sup> as observed in the lymphocytes of both this study and in Turner *et al.*<sup>37</sup>, where fewer DNA damage foci were detected.

The somewhat unexpected finding of this study may be explained when considering the interaction effects. This additional feature of the observed data is the significant interaction effect, i.e., the statistically significant interaction between time (post-exposure), dose and dose-rate, as well as between dose and time, both with  $p$ -values of  $<0.001$ . Such an interaction is not something commonly considered during such analyses, but the results here are clear. This analysis was justified due to the large error observed within the sum of squares from ANOVA testing, when the interaction effect was not included. Dose, dose rate and time are biologically known to have interactions and indeed it appears from our data that 53BP1 foci frequencies are influenced by all three. (I think this paragraph is going to be a “hard sell” if the paper is reviewed by a hardcore radiobiologist familiar with the inverse dose-rate effect and the relevance of 53BP1 foci-staining. The findings must be placed in the context of what this staining probably actually means.)

The dose rates used in this study are not low in comparison to the generally accepted definition of  $<5$  mGy/h<sup>42</sup>, below which single track events will predominate. The choice of dose rates in this study was driven chiefly by the availability of suitable exposure facilities and the dose and dose rate ranges within which the source could be reliably calibrated. However, the data presented here support the hypothesis<sup>9,43</sup> that there is a dose rate effect in the lens and not in the lymphocytes. Reducing dose rate from 0.3 to 0.063 Gy/min was found to significantly increase the number of detectable residual DSBs within LEC DNA, which raises the question of the effects of protracted low dose-rate exposures on the lens. More investigations are required to establish the influence of both IR dose-rate and also energy on the DNA damage response of LECs.

Whilst this study considers one response to IR exposure, i.e. DNA damage assessed by 53BP1 foci, recent findings suggest it has a role in IR-induced cataractogenesis<sup>15-17</sup>. Based on the dose responses seen for both Rad51 and 53BP1 foci in a previous study, both homologous recombination and non-homologous end joining repair mechanisms, respectively, appear to be active in LECs<sup>16</sup>. It has been suggested that a combination of IR-induced effects is responsible for cataract induction<sup>5,44</sup> and our data support this view. Un-repaired DNA damage in LEC could lead to altered cell proliferation<sup>16</sup>, which could in turn have a detrimental effect on cell differentiation. Our data also suggest that gamma-ray IR induced damage may accumulate as evidenced by the persistence of foci 24 hours after exposure across the lens epithelium (Figure 3). The presence of progenitor cells in the lens epithelium<sup>45-47</sup> would potentially pose a particularly sensitive target for IR-induced DNA damage. Another possible mechanistic explanation for the results of this study could relate to the roles of lens



epithelium-derived growth factor (LEDGF) and eyes absent (EYA) proteins. LEDGF is expressed in greater concentration across the central region of the lens epithelium<sup>48</sup>, where it has been shown to protect the cells against oxidative damage<sup>49</sup> and DNA damage induced via peroxide and UVB<sup>50,51</sup>. The expression of LEDGF is lost as cells move towards the equator of the lens, where the peripheral region LECs are located<sup>48</sup> resulting in slower repair. This is important to our study as LEDGF has DNA binding properties<sup>52</sup> and is involved in the DNA DSB repair response pathway following  $\gamma$ -radiation exposure<sup>53</sup>. Recent proteomic and RNA sequencing data have revealed higher levels of DNA repair proteins 53BP1, MRE11 and Rad50 in the murine lens epithelium compared to fibre cells<sup>54</sup>, but the fact that the peripheral epithelium is more sensitive to IR<sup>16</sup> suggests that LEDGF might play a key role in this differential sensitivity between the central and peripheral regions of LECs. EYA, a phosphatase required along with Pax6 and Six3 transcription factors that are essential for eye development<sup>55</sup>, is also needed for DNA repair as it dephosphorylates H2AX<sup>56</sup>. Both LEDGF<sup>49,57</sup> and EYA<sup>58</sup> are also required for LEC differentiation into LFCs. Our data indicate that DNA repair is dependent upon dose and dose rate. We interpret this to indicate that peripheral LEC are balancing the processes of differentiation and DNA DSB repair. At the low dose rates, the 53BP1 foci persist whilst differentiation proceeds, but at higher dose rates, DNA repair is favoured over differentiation. The decreased expression of LEDGF in the peripheral region LEC could help explain the slower repair of DNA damage seen in our study at the lower dose rate (Figure 3). The 53BP1 foci indicate that DNA repair is progressing, as we also observe a decrease in foci/cell with time for both peripheral and central regions of the lens epithelium.

Inverse dose-rate effects generally occur in instances whereby a continuous low dose rate exposure to IR allows cells to cycle, then hitting a G2-phase block, resulting in increased radiosensitivity and enhanced cell death<sup>34</sup>. Cell death does occur in LECs but this is very low, and there are no reported instances of increased LEC death post-IR exposure<sup>5</sup>. Lifetime loss of LEC via cell death in humans over many decades may have some effect on LEC differentiation and lens transparency<sup>59</sup>. One proposed mechanism of the inverse dose-rate effect is a failure of LECs to arrest damaged cells and halt their progression into the G2 phase of the cell cycle. This would make the periphery a particular target of the inverse dose-rate effect<sup>8</sup> as it is here that proliferating cells are concentrated<sup>60</sup>. A consequence of delaying DSB repair is that secondary effects accrue and affect lens cell differentiation itself<sup>6,8</sup>. This is broadly summarised in the 'cataractogenic load' concept, where genetic, lifestyle and environmental factors are recognised as co-inducers of age-related cataract<sup>44</sup>. IR-induced damage therefore compounds the lens aging process by further increasing this 'load' to accelerate aging and the appearance of age-related cataract. Our report here of an inverse dose rate effect fuels further debate on IR effects upon the lens and strengthens arguments for regular monitoring in occupational contexts<sup>3,61,62</sup>.

## Conclusion

This study represents the first *in-vivo* experimental investigation of dose-rate effects in the epithelial cells of the mouse eye lens and presents the first evidence to suggest that LEC and lymphocytes respond differently to dose-rate variation in terms of DNA damage repair. Levels of residual DNA damage foci observed in LECs increase with reduced dose-rate unlike in peripheral lymphocytes from the same animals. This supports the hypothesis that the lens has an unusual DNA damage response to IR compared to other tissues. Our data indicate an inverse dose rate effect in the LEC for residual 53BP1 foci as a marker for DSBs, and it is hypothesised that this residual damage contributes to cataractogenesis. This study promotes the importance of determining the cell-specific effects of low dose and low dose-rate IR exposures on DNA repair in target tissues and show that the

response can be cell and tissue specific due to for instance, competing processes such as cell proliferation and differentiation in a dose-rate dependant manner as observed in cell-based studies<sup>63-65</sup>.

#### Acknowledgments:

LDLensRad is part of the CONCERT project. This project has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 662287. This publication reflects only the author's view. Responsibility for the information and views expressed therein lies entirely with the authors. The European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

The authors would like to thank the LDLensRad consortium (<https://www.researchgate.net/project/LDLensRad-the-European-CONCERT-project-starting-in-2017-Towards-a-full-mechanistic-understanding-of-low-dose-radiation-induced-cataracts>). We would also like to thank Mark Hill and James Thompson from the Oxford Institute for Radiation Oncology and Bob Sokolowski of MRC Harwell for support with the irradiation facility. We also thank Simon Bouffler of Public Health England for continued support and guidance with this study.

**Data Availability:** The data generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Figure Legends:

*Figure 1: 53BP1 foci in the nuclei of LEC located in the central region of the monolayer following 2 Gy irradiation (0.063 Gy/min) fixed and stained 4 hours post-exposure.*

*Figure 2: Mean 53BP1 foci/cell (including stand error bars) 24 hours post-exposure to IR delivered with three different dose rates, measured in peripheral blood lymphocytes from in-vivo irradiated female C57BL/6 mice. As discussed in the main text, 0.014 Gy/min data is presented for 0.5 Gy only.*

*Figure 3: Mean 53BP1 foci/cell within central and peripheral region LEC (LEC) both 4 and 24 hours post-irradiation to 0.5, 1 and 2 Gy (plus control) Co-60  $\gamma$ -radiation at 0.3, 0.063 and 0.014 (0.5 Gy dose only as discussed) Gy/min dose rates. Note mean foci/cell axis is to a different scale at 24 hours post-exposure compared to 4 hours for ease of reading.*

#### Author Contributions statement:

S.B., R.Q., the LDLensRad consortium, and E.A. designed and conceived the original idea. S.B. wrote the manuscript with support from R.M., J.M., R.Q. and E.A. The experiments and analysis were performed by S.B., R.M. and J.M.

#### Competing Interests statement:

There is no conflict or competing interest from any of the authors of this manuscript.

#### Ethical approval and informed consent:

All experimental protocols were approved by the UK Home Office and approved under project licencing, as well as local Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body. Methods were carried out in accordance with the relevant guideline and regulations stated above.

- 1 Stewart, F. A. *et al.* ICRP publication 118: ICRP statement on tissue reactions and early and late effects of radiation in normal tissues and organs--threshold doses for tissue reactions in a radiation protection context. *Ann ICRP* **41**, 1-322, doi:10.1016/j.icrp.2012.02.001 (2012).
- 2 Bouffler, S. D. *et al.* The lens of the eye: exposures in the UK medical sector and mechanistic studies of radiation effects. *Ann ICRP* **44**, 84-90, doi:10.1177/0146645314560693 (2015).
- 3 Barnard, S. G., Ainsbury, E. A., Quinlan, R. A. & Bouffler, S. D. Radiation protection of the eye lens in medical workers-basis and impact of the ICRP recommendations. *Br J Radiol* **89**, 20151034, doi:10.1259/bjr.20151034 (2016).
- 4 Dauer, L. T. *et al.* Guidance on radiation dose limits for the lens of the eye: overview of the recommendations in NCRP Commentary No. 26. *Int J Radiat Biol*, 1-9, doi:10.1080/09553002.2017.1304669 (2017).
- 5 Ainsbury, E. A. *et al.* Ionizing radiation induced cataracts: Recent biological and mechanistic developments and perspectives for future research. *Mutat Res* **770**, 238-261, doi:10.1016/j.mrrev.2016.07.010 (2016).
- 6 Hamada, N. *et al.* Emerging issues in radiogenic cataracts and cardiovascular disease. *J Radiat Res* **55**, 831-846, doi:10.1093/jrr/rru036 (2014).
- 7 Hammer, G. P. *et al.* Occupational exposure to low doses of ionizing radiation and cataract development: a systematic literature review and perspectives on future studies. *Radiat Environ Biophys* **52**, 303-319, doi:10.1007/s00411-013-0477-6 (2013).
- 8 Hamada, N. Ionizing radiation sensitivity of the ocular lens and its dose rate dependence. *Int J Radiat Biol*, 1-11, doi:10.1080/09553002.2016.1266407 (2016).
- 9 Little, M. P. *et al.* Occupational radiation exposure and risk of cataract incidence in a cohort of US radiologic technologists. *European journal of epidemiology*, doi:10.1007/s10654-018-0435-3 (2018).
- 10 Azizova, T. V., Bragin, E. V., Hamada, N. & Bannikova, M. V. Risk of Cataract Incidence in a Cohort of Mayak PA Workers following Chronic Occupational Radiation Exposure. *PLoS One* **11**, e0164357, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164357 (2016).
- 11 Azizova, T. V., Hamada, N., Grigoryeva, E. S. & Bragin, E. V. Risk of various types of cataracts in a cohort of Mayak workers following chronic occupational exposure to ionizing radiation. *European journal of epidemiology* **33**, 1193-1204, doi:10.1007/s10654-018-0450-4 (2018).
- 12 Neriishi, K. *et al.* Radiation dose and cataract surgery incidence in atomic bomb survivors, 1986-2005. *Radiology* **265**, 167-174, doi:10.1148/radiol.12111947 (2012).
- 13 Ainsbury, E. A. *et al.* Radiation cataractogenesis: a review of recent studies. *Radiat Res* **172**, 1-9, doi:10.1667/rr1688.1 (2009).
- 14 Hamada, N. Ionizing radiation response of primary normal human lens epithelial cells. *PLoS One* **12**, e0181530, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0181530 (2017).
- 15 Barnard, S. G. R. *et al.* Dotting the eyes: mouse strain dependency of the lens epithelium to low dose radiation-induced DNA damage. *Int J Radiat Biol*, 1-9, doi:10.1080/09553002.2018.1532609 (2018).
- 16 Markiewicz, E. *et al.* Nonlinear ionizing radiation-induced changes in eye lens cell proliferation, cyclin D1 expression and lens shape. *Open biology* **5**, 150011, doi:10.1098/rsob.150011 (2015).
- 17 Kunze, S. *et al.* New mutation in the mouse Xpd/Ercc2 gene leads to recessive cataracts. *PLoS One* **10**, e0125304, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0125304 (2015).
- 18 Dalke, C. *et al.* Lifetime study in mice after acute low-dose ionizing radiation: a multifactorial study with special focus on cataract risk. *Radiat Environ Biophys* **57**, 99-113, doi:10.1007/s00411-017-0728-z (2018).

- 19 Bakthavachalu, B. *et al.* Dense cataract and microphthalmia (dcm) in BALB/c mice is caused by mutations in the GJA8 locus. *Journal of genetics* **89**, 147-154 (2010).
- 20 De Stefano, I. *et al.* The Patched 1 tumor-suppressor gene protects the mouse lens from spontaneous and radiation-induced cataract. *The American journal of pathology* **185**, 85-95, doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2014.09.019 (2015).
- 21 Kleiman, N. J. *et al.* Mrad9 and atm haploinsufficiency enhance spontaneous and X-ray-induced cataractogenesis in mice. *Radiat Res* **168**, 567-573, doi:10.1667/rr1122.1 (2007).
- 22 Kohale, K., Ingle, A., Kelkar, A. & Parab, P. Dense cataract and microphthalmia--new spontaneous mutation in BALB/c mice. *Comparative medicine* **54**, 275-279 (2004).
- 23 Wormstone, I. M. & Wride, M. A. The ocular lens: a classic model for development, physiology and disease. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **366**, 1190-1192, doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0377 (2011).
- 24 Tholozan, F. M. & Quinlan, R. A. Lens cells: more than meets the eye. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* **39**, 1754-1759, doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2007.06.021 (2007).
- 25 Treton, J. A. & Courtois, Y. Evolution of the distribution, proliferation and ultraviolet repair capacity of rat lens epithelial cells as a function of maturation and aging. *Mechanisms of ageing and development* **15**, 251-267 (1981).
- 26 Bannik, K. *et al.* Are mouse lens epithelial cells more sensitive to gamma-irradiation than lymphocytes? *Radiat Environ Biophys* **52**, 279-286, doi:10.1007/s00411-012-0451-8 (2013).
- 27 Kleiman, N. J. & Spector, A. DNA single strand breaks in human lens epithelial cells from patients with cataract. *Current eye research* **12**, 423-431 (1993).
- 28 Fujimichi, Y. & Hamada, N. Ionizing irradiation not only inactivates clonogenic potential in primary normal human diploid lens epithelial cells but also stimulates cell proliferation in a subset of this population. *PLoS One* **9**, e98154, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098154 (2014).
- 29 Worgul, B. V., Smilenov, L., Brenner, D. J., Vazquez, M. & Hall, E. J. Mice heterozygous for the ATM gene are more sensitive to both X-ray and heavy ion exposure than are wildtypes. *Advances in space research : the official journal of the Committee on Space Research (COSPAR)* **35**, 254-259 (2005).
- 30 Chua, M. L. & Rothkamm, K. Biomarkers of radiation exposure: can they predict normal tissue radiosensitivity? *Clinical oncology (Royal College of Radiologists (Great Britain))* **25**, 610-616, doi:10.1016/j.clon.2013.06.010 (2013).
- 31 Horn, S., Barnard, S., Brady, D., Prise, K. M. & Rothkamm, K. Combined analysis of gamma-H2AX/53BP1 foci and caspase activation in lymphocyte subsets detects recent and more remote radiation exposures. *Radiat Res* **180**, 603-609, doi:10.1667/rr13342.1 (2013).
- 32 Rothkamm, K. *et al.* DNA damage foci: Meaning and significance. *Environmental and molecular mutagenesis* **56**, 491-504, doi:10.1002/em.21944 (2015).
- 33 Hall, E. J. & Brenner, D. J. The dose-rate effect revisited: radiobiological considerations of importance in radiotherapy. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* **21**, 1403-1414 (1991).
- 34 Hall, E. J. *Radiobiology for the radiologist*. (Hagerstown, Md. : Medical Dept., Harper & Row, [1973] ©1973, 1973).
- 35 Ruiz de Almodovar, J. M. *et al.* Dose-rate effect for DNA damage induced by ionizing radiation in human tumor cells. *Radiat Res* **138**, S93-96 (1994).
- 36 ICRP. ICRP Publication 103. *Annals of the ICRP* **37** (2007).
- 37 Turner, H. C. *et al.* Effect of dose rate on residual gamma-H2AX levels and frequency of micronuclei in X-irradiated mouse lymphocytes. *Radiat Res* **183**, 315-324, doi:10.1667/rr13860.1 (2015).
- 38 Kato, T. A., Nagasawa, H., Weil, M. M., Little, J. B. & Bedford, J. S. Levels of gamma-H2AX Foci after low-dose-rate irradiation reveal a DNA DSB rejoining defect in cells from human ATM heterozygotes in two at families and in another apparently normal individual. *Radiat Res* **166**, 443-453, doi:10.1667/rr3604.1 (2006).

- 39 Ainsbury, E. *et al.* Integration of new biological and physical retrospective dosimetry methods into EU emergency response plans - joint RENEB and EURADOS inter-laboratory comparisons. *Int J Radiat Biol* **93**, 99-109, doi:10.1080/09553002.2016.1206233 (2017).
- 40 Horn, S., Barnard, S. & Rothkamm, K. Gamma-H2AX-based dose estimation for whole and partial body radiation exposure. *PLoS One* **6**, e25113, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025113 (2011).
- 41 Worgul, B. V., Brenner, D. J., Medvedovsky, C., Merriam, G. R., Jr & Huang, Y. Accelerated heavy particles and the lens. VII: The cataractogenic potential of 450 MeV/amu iron ions. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* **34**, 184-193 (1993).
- 42 ICRP. Early and Late Effects of Radiation in Normal Tissues and Organs – Threshold Doses for Tissue Reactions in a Radiation Protection Context. *Annals of the ICRP Publication 103* (2007).
- 43 Hamada, N. Ionizing radiation sensitivity of the ocular lens and its dose rate dependence. *Int J Radiat Biol* **93**, 1024-1034, doi:10.1080/09553002.2016.1266407 (2017).
- 44 Alice Uwineza, A. A. K., Nobuyuki & Hamada, M. J., Roy A. Quinlan. Cataractogenic load – a concept to study the contribution of ionizing radiation to accelerated aging in the eye lens. *Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research* **779**, 68-81, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2019.02.004> (2019).
- 45 Lin, H. *et al.* Lens regeneration using endogenous stem cells with gain of visual function. *Nature* **531**, 323-328, doi:10.1038/nature17181 (2016).
- 46 Oka, M. *et al.* Characterization and localization of side population cells in the lens. *Molecular vision* **16**, 945-953 (2010).
- 47 Zhou, M., Leiberman, J., Xu, J. & Lavker, R. M. A hierarchy of proliferative cells exists in mouse lens epithelium: implications for lens maintenance. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **47**, 2997-3003, doi:10.1167/iovs.06-0130 (2006).
- 48 Kubo, E. *et al.* Cellular distribution of lens epithelium-derived growth factor (LEDGF) in the rat eye: loss of LEDGF from nuclei of differentiating cells. *Histochemistry and cell biology* **119**, 289-299, doi:10.1007/s00418-003-0518-3 (2003).
- 49 Singh, D. P., Ohguro, N., Chylack, L. T., Jr. & Shinohara, T. Lens epithelium-derived growth factor: increased resistance to thermal and oxidative stresses. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **40**, 1444-1451 (1999).
- 50 Matsui, H., Lin, L. R., Singh, D. P., Shinohara, T. & Reddy, V. N. Lens epithelium-derived growth factor: increased survival and decreased DNA breakage of human RPE cells induced by oxidative stress. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **42**, 2935-2941 (2001).
- 51 Fatma, N., Singh, D. P., Shinohara, T. & Chylack, L. T., Jr. Transcriptional regulation of the antioxidant protein 2 gene, a thiol-specific antioxidant, by lens epithelium-derived growth factor to protect cells from oxidative stress. *J Biol Chem* **276**, 48899-48907, doi:10.1074/jbc.M100733200 (2001).
- 52 Singh, D. P. *et al.* DNA binding domains and nuclear localization signal of LEDGF: contribution of two helix-turn-helix (HTH)-like domains and a stretch of 58 amino acids of the N-terminal to the trans-activation potential of LEDGF. *Journal of molecular biology* **355**, 379-394, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2005.10.054 (2006).
- 53 Li, L. & Wang, Y. Cross-talk between the H3K36me3 and H4K16ac histone epigenetic marks in DNA double-strand break repair. *J Biol Chem* **292**, 11951-11959, doi:10.1074/jbc.M117.788224 (2017).
- 54 Zhao, Y. *et al.* Proteome-transcriptome analysis and proteome remodeling in mouse lens epithelium and fibers. *Exp Eye Res* **179**, 32-46, doi:10.1016/j.exer.2018.10.011 (2019).
- 55 Purcell, P., Oliver, G., Mardon, G., Donner, A. L. & Maas, R. L. Pax6-dependence of Six3, Eya1 and Dach1 expression during lens and nasal placode induction. *Gene expression patterns : GEP* **6**, 110-118, doi:10.1016/j.modgep.2005.04.010 (2005).

- 56 Krishnan, N. *et al.* Dephosphorylation of the C-terminal tyrosyl residue of the DNA damage-related histone H2A.X is mediated by the protein phosphatase eyes absent. *J Biol Chem* **284**, 16066-16070, doi:10.1074/jbc.C900032200 (2009).
- 57 El Ashkar, S. *et al.* LEDGF/p75 is dispensable for hematopoiesis but essential for MLL-rearranged leukemogenesis. *Blood* **131**, 95-107, doi:10.1182/blood-2017-05-786962 (2018).
- 58 Xu, P. X., Woo, I., Her, H., Beier, D. R. & Maas, R. L. Mouse Eya homologues of the *Drosophila* eyes absent gene require Pax6 for expression in lens and nasal placode. *Development (Cambridge, England)* **124**, 219-231 (1997).
- 59 Charakidas, A. *et al.* Lens epithelial apoptosis and cell proliferation in human age-related cortical cataract. *European journal of ophthalmology* **15**, 213-220 (2005).
- 60 Wu, J. J. *et al.* A dimensionless ordered pull-through model of the mammalian lens epithelium evidences scaling across species and explains the age-dependent changes in cell density in the human lens. *Journal of the Royal Society, Interface* **12**, 20150391 (2015).
- 61 Bouffler, S., Ainsbury, E., Gilvin, P., Harrison, J. Radiation-induced cataract: the Health Protection Agency's response to the ICRP statement on tissue reactions and recommendation on the dose limit for the eye lens. *Journal of Radiological Protection* **32**, 479-488 (2012).
- 62 Ainsbury, E. A., Bouffler, S., Cocker, M., Gilvin, P., Holt, E., Peters, S., Slack, K., Williamson, A. Public Health England survey of eye lens doses in the UK medical sector. *Journal of Radiological Protection* **34**, 15-29 (2014).
- 63 Truong, K. *et al.* The effect of well-characterized, very low-dose x-ray radiation on fibroblasts. *PLoS One* **13**, e0190330, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0190330 (2018).
- 64 Rothkamm, K. & Lobrich, M. Evidence for a lack of DNA double-strand break repair in human cells exposed to very low x-ray doses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**, 5057-5062, doi:10.1073/pnas.0830918100 (2003).
- 65 Anglada, T. *et al.* Delayed gammaH2AX foci disappearance in mammary epithelial cells from aged women reveals an age-associated DNA repair defect. *Aging* **11**, 1510-1523, doi:10.18632/aging.101849 (2019).